



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/438,957	11/12/1999	TERRELL B. JONES	07099.1193-0	1078
826	7590 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			RUDY, ANDREW J	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/438,957	JONES, TERRELL B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr titute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. PNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30</u>	) Δυσμετ 2005				
	his action is non-final.	•			
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde					
Disposition of Claims	,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 23-34</u> is/are pending in the	e application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 23-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		e Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	· · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ion priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight priority direction of discipling in the	(4) (4) 5. (1).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p	•				
application from the International Bure		<b>3</b> -			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I		ived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ol>		l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	The same of the same			

Application/Control Number: 09/438,957 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9 and 23-34 are pending. Applicant cancelled claims 10-22.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goddard, US 6,876,983.

Goddard discloses an electronic system, e.g. 10, 12, for aggregating purchases reflecting demand for items from different purchasers, e.g. 14, 24, and notifying the users of information identifying a location to address any responses. Goddard does not disclose the term user identification or determining whether to commit to purchase. However, Official Notice is taken that implementing user identification used with an electronic purchase system and leaving the option open for a consumer the option to commit to purchase a product has been common knowledge in the art. It is noted intended use claim language, e.g. "for at," is given patentable weight, but less than that of positively recited claim language.

To have provided such for Goddard would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for doing such is that user identifications and options to commit to a purchase would have been an obvious use of the Goddard system.

Applicant's August 30, 2005 REMARKS are convincing with regards to the previous Office Action, but are most in light of the new grounds of rejection.

4. A further reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-892.

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627